

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT – STAGE 1

EIA Ref	PR/15/38	
Lead Officer	Name	Peter McCann
	Position	Head of Revenues & Benefits & Customer Services
	Contact details	Peter.mccann@halton.gov.uk

SECTION 1 –Context & Background

1.1 What is the title of the policy/practice?

Discretionary Housing Payments

1.2 What is the current status of the policy/practice?

Existing

Changed

New ✓

1.3 What are the principal aims and intended outcomes of the policy/practice?

Discretionary Housing Payments (DHP) provides claimants with further financial assistance, in addition to any welfare benefits, when the Council considers that help with housing costs is required.

1.4 Who has primary responsibility for delivering the policy/practice?

Primary responsibility lies with Head of Revenues & Benefits & Customer Services

1.5 Who are the main stakeholders?

Individuals in receipt of Housing Benefit or Universal Credit

1.6 Who is the policy/practice intended to affect?

Residents ✓

Staff

Specific Group(s)

(add details below)

1.7 Are there any other related policies/practices?

Yes. DHP Policy



DHP%20Scheme%20-%20October%202021

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT – STAGE 1

SECTION 2 – Consideration of Impact

2.1 Relevance: – the Public Sector Equality Duty

Does this policy / practice / service have due regard to the need to: -

- (a) Eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conflict that is prohibited by the Equality Act 2010
- (b) Advance equality of opportunity between two persons who share a relevant protected characteristic
- (c) Foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it

Yes (✓) No ()

State reasons below

(Relevance to service users/staff)

The service is provided equally to all irrespective of protected characteristic

2.2 Has data and information been used in determining the impact of the policy/procedure under review?

(for example research, surveys, complaints, consultation, , monitoring data)

Equality Group(s)	Research was conducted but no specific Equality Group was targeted. Guidance from central government.
--------------------------	---

Baseline data and information

2.3 On the basis of evidence, has the actual/potential impact of the policy/practice been judged to be positive (+), neutral (=) or negative (-) for each of the equality groups and in what way? Is the level of impact judged to be high (H), medium ((M), or low (L)?

Protected Characteristic	Impact type +, =, -	Level H, M, L, -	Nature of impact
Age	=	N/A	Whilst it is not the intention to take positive action for any particular groups it is designed to ensure equality of treatment for those who may be unfairly disadvantaged, including some with disabilities and foster carers, in line with central government guidance provided by HB Circulars S1/2015 and S4/2015.
Disability	=	N/A	
Gender	=	N/A	
Race/ethnicity	=	N/A	
Religion / belief	=	N/A	
Sexual Orientation	=	N/A	
Transgender	=	N/A	
Marital status/ Civil Partnerships	=	N/A	
Pregnancy/Maternity	=	N/A	

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT – STAGE 1

In Halton two further vulnerable groups have been identified: -

Carers	=	N/A
Socio – economic disadvantage	=	N/A

2.4 Does the policy/practice have any potential impact on safeguarding vulnerable people?

N/A

2.5 How will the impact of the policy/practice be monitored?

Via applications and review

2.6 Who will be responsible for monitoring?

Head of Revenues & Benefits & Customer Services

2.7 If any negative impacts, or potential negative impacts, have been identified what mitigating actions will be put in place, thereby eliminating the need for a further Stage 2 assessment
Where none have been identified insert 'no further action required' in the first column

Action & purpose / outcome	Priority	Timeframe	Lead Officer
No further action required	(H, M, L)		

2.8 Summary of stakeholders involved in this review

Name / Job Title	Organisation/representative of
Peter McCann Head of Revenues & Benefits & Customer Services	HBC
Paul Garnett Benefits Manager	HBC
Les Unsworth Policy Officer	HBC

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT – STAGE 1


2.9 Completion Statement

As the identified Lead Officer of this review I confirm that:-

There may be a positive impact for one or more of the protected groups, and that this is justifiable and lawful, or a potential negative impact has been identified, but steps can be taken to mitigate the impact.



Signed:



Date:

14/5/15

Completed EIAs should be sent to Les Unsworth, Corporate and Organisational Policy, to be given a unique reference number and for inclusion on the central register.

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT – STAGE 1

Appendix 1

Public Sector Equality Duty – EIA Checklist

The Equality Act s149 (the Public Sector Equality Duty) requires is that HBC has due regard to the need to:-

- (a) Eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under this Act;
- (b) Advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it;
- (c) Foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.

These are matters that we must show we have taken into the reckoning in making decisions about the Council's functions. We therefore need evidence of the following:

1. We know about the duty
2. We have reflected on the duty
3. We have consulted those affected or likely to be affected
4. We have used relevant information already in the council's possession (surveys etc.)
5. We have allowed adequate time for consultation with those affected and/or those who speak for those affected
6. We have allowed adequate time for earnest and genuine consideration of the information and views received
7. We have identified any adverse effects
8. We have sought to mitigate any adverse effects of decisions
9. We have identified principal options
10. Decision makers have not made up their mind in advance
11. We have considered all material considerations including our own financial situation, policy and strategy documents alongside along views received from consultees but have not applied those policies etc. In a rigid or unthinking way.

